

Court of Claims of Ohio

The Ohio Judicial Center
65 South Front Street, Third Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
614.387.9800 or 1.800.824.8263
www.cco.state.oh.us

TIMOTHY SIMCOX

Plaintiff

v.

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Defendant

Case No. 2010-03312-AD

Clerk Miles C. Durfey

MEMORANDUM DECISION

FINDINGS OF FACT

{¶ 1} “1) Plaintiff, Timothy Simcox, filed this complaint against defendant, Department of Transportation (ODOT), alleging that he suffered property damage to a 2006 Mazda sedan as a proximate cause of negligence on the part of ODOT in maintaining a hazardous condition on US Route 33 in Columbus. Plaintiff related that the vehicle he was driving struck a pothole on a ramp from US Route 33 West onto Hamilton Road North. Plaintiff recalled that the incident occurred on January 22, 2010 at approximately 4:00 a.m. Plaintiff seeks recovery of damages in the amount of \$308.60, the cost of replacement parts and related repair expenses he incurred as a result of the vehicle striking the pothole. The filing fee was paid.

{¶ 2} “2) Defendant filed an investigation report requesting plaintiff’s claim be dismissed due to the fact that the City of Columbus and not ODOT bears the maintenance responsibility for the section of US Route 33 where plaintiff’s incident occurred. Defendant advised that “the City of Columbus takes care of this section of US

33.” Defendant stated that “[i]n sum, the City of Columbus is responsible for the maintenance of the roadway upon which plaintiff’s incident occurred (and) [a]s such, the City of Columbus is the proper party to plaintiff’s claim.” The site of the damage incident was not on a roadway area maintained by ODOT.

{¶ 3} “3) Plaintiff did not respond.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

{¶ 4} Ohio Revised Code Section 5501.31 in pertinent part states:

{¶ 5} “Except in the case of maintaining, repairing, erecting traffic signs on, or pavement marking of state highways within villages, which is mandatory as required by section 5521.01 of the Revised Code, and except as provided in section 5501.49 of the Revised Code, no duty of constructing, reconstructing, widening, resurfacing, maintaining, or repairing state highways within municipal corporations, or the bridges and culverts thereon, shall attach to or rest upon the director, but he may construct, reconstruct, widen, resurface, maintain, and repair the same with or without the cooperation of any municipal corporation, or with or without the cooperation of boards of county commissioners upon each municipal corporation consenting thereto.”

{¶ 6} The site of the damage-causing incident was not in the maintenance jurisdiction of defendant. Consequently, plaintiff’s case is dismissed.

Case No. 2006-03532-AD

- 3 -

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Case No. 2006-03532-AD

- 3 -

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Court of Claims of Ohio

The Ohio Judicial Center
65 South Front Street, Third Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
614.387.9800 or 1.800.824.8263
www.cco.state.oh.us

TIMOTHY SIMCOX

Plaintiff

v.

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Defendant

Case No. 2010-03312-AD

Clerk Miles C. Durfey

ENTRY OF ADMINISTRATIVE DETERMINATION

Having considered all the evidence in the claim file and, for the reasons set forth above, plaintiff's case is DISMISSED. The court shall absorb the court costs of this case.

MILES C. DURFEY
Clerk

Entry cc:

Timothy Simcox
1470 Lamb Road
Carroll, Ohio 43112

Jolene M. Molitoris, Director
Department of Transportation
1980 West Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43223

RDK/laa
7/12
Filed 8/6/10
Sent to S.C. reporter 12/10/10