

**THE STATE EX REL. PETERSON, APPELLANT, v. DURKIN, JUDGE, ET AL.,
APPELLEES.**

[Cite as *State ex rel. Peterson v. Durkin*, 129 Ohio St.3d 213, 2011-Ohio-2639.]

Appeal from dismissal of a petition for a writ of mandamus and procedendo — No error in sentencing entry — Judgment affirmed.

(No. 2011-0260 — Submitted May 25, 2011 — Decided June 7, 2011.)

APPEAL from the Court of Appeals for Mahoning County, No. 10 MA 155.

Per Curiam.

{¶ 1} We affirm the judgment of the court of appeals dismissing the petition of appellant, Bobbie Peterson, for writs of mandamus and procedendo to compel appellees, Mahoning County Court of Common Pleas Judge John M. Durkin and the common pleas court, to enter a sentencing entry that complies with Crim.R. 32(C) and properly imposes postrelease control. Judge Durkin's February 27, 2007 sentencing entry fully complied with Crim.R. 32(C) by including his guilty plea, the sentence, the judge's signature, and the time stamp indicating the entry upon the journal by the clerk of court. The entry also included sufficient language that postrelease control was part of his sentence so as to give appellant sufficient notice to raise any claimed errors on appeal rather than by extraordinary writ. See *State ex rel. Pruitt v. Cuyahoga Cty. Court of Common Pleas*, 125 Ohio St.3d 402, 2010-Ohio-1808, 928 N.E.2d 722, ¶ 4; *Watkins v. Collins*, 111 Ohio St.3d 425, 2006-Ohio-5082, 857 N.E.2d 78, ¶ 51-53.

Judgment affirmed.

O'CONNOR, C.J., and PFEIFER, LUNDBERG STRATTON, O'DONNELL,
LANZINGER, CUPP, and MCGEE BROWN, JJ., concur.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Bobbie Peterson, pro se.

Paul J. Gains, Mahoning County Prosecuting Attorney, and Ralph M.
Rivera, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, for appellees.
