

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

May 7, 2009

[Cite as *05/07/2009 Case Announcements, 2009-Ohio-2111.*]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2008-0200. Olympic Holding Co., L.L.C. v. ACE Ltd., Slip Opinion No. 2009-Ohio-2057.

Franklin App. No. 07AP-168, 2007-Ohio-6643. Judgment of the court of appeals reversed, and cause remanded to the trial court.

Moyer, C.J., and Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

Pfeifer and O'Donnell, JJ., dissent.

2008-0584 and 2008-0630. Medcorp, Inc. v. Ohio Dept. of Job & Family Servs., Slip Opinion No. 2009-Ohio-2058.

Franklin App. No. 07AP-312, 2008-Ohio-464. Certified question answered in the affirmative, judgment of the court of appeals reversed, and cause dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

Moyer, C.J., and O'Connor, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, and O'Donnell, JJ., dissent.

2008-0784. Hayes v. Oakridge Home, Slip Opinion No. 2009-Ohio-2054.

Cuyahoga App. No. 89400, 175 Ohio App.3d 334, 2008-Ohio-787. Judgment of the court of appeals reversed, and judgment of the trial court reinstated.

Moyer, C.J., and O'Connor, O'Donnell, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

Lundberg Stratton and Lanzinger, JJ., concur in judgment only.

Pfeifer, J., dissents.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2009-0727. State ex rel. O'Brien v. Heimlich.

Franklin App. No. 08AP-521, 2009-Ohio-1550. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. Upon consideration of appellant's motion to stay execution of judgment pending appeal,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

Pfeifer, O'Connor, and Cupp, JJ., dissent.

APPEALS ACCEPTED FOR REVIEW

2009-0236. State v. Netherland.

Ross App. No. 08CA3043, 2008-Ohio-7007. Discretionary appeal accepted on Proposition of Law Nos. I, II, III, and IV; cause held for the decision in 2008-2502, *State v. Bodyke*, Huron App. Nos. H-07-040, H-07-041, and H-07-042, 2008-Ohio-6387; and briefing schedule stayed.

O'Connor, J., would accept the appeal on Proposition of Law Nos. I, II, and III only.

Lanzinger, J., would also accept the appeal on Proposition of Law Nos. V and VI.

Pfeifer, J., dissents.

Motion to exceed page limitation on memorandum in support of jurisdiction granted.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2000-1100. Disciplinary Counsel v. Oglesby.

This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing on September 2, 2008, of a petition for reinstatement by respondent, Geoffrey Lynn Oglesby, Attorney Registration No. 0023949. In accordance with Gov.Bar R. V(10)(F), respondent's petition for reinstatement was referred to the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline. The board filed its final report in this court on February 24, 2009, recommending that respondent be denied reinstatement to the practice of law in Ohio. Respondent filed objections to the final report, and relator filed an answer. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by this court that the petition for reinstatement of respondent is denied and that respondent, Geoffrey Lynn Oglesby, last known address in Berlin Heights, Ohio, is not reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio.

It is further ordered by the court that respondent be taxed the costs of these proceedings in the amount of \$437.84, less the deposit of \$500, for a total balance due to be refunded to respondent of \$62.16.

It is further ordered, sua sponte, by the court that within 90 days of the date of this order, respondent shall reimburse any amounts that have been awarded against respondent by the Clients' Security Fund pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VIII(7)(F). It is further ordered, sua sponte, by the court that if, after the date of this order, the Clients' Security Fund awards any amount against respondent pursuant to Gov.Bar R. VIII(7)(F), respondent shall reimburse that amount to the Clients' Security Fund within 90 days of the notice of such award.

It is further ordered, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

Lundberg Stratton, J., dissents and would grant the petition and impose a two-year monitoring period.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2009-0429. State ex rel. Arnott v. Bd. of Syracuse/Racine Regional Sewer Dist.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal,

It is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.