

ATTENTION

DIRECT ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ANNOUNCEMENT TO WALTER S. KOBALKA,
REPORTER OF DECISIONS, AND DEBORAH J. BARRETT AT (614) 466-4961 OR
1-800-826-9010.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

TUESDAY
April 25, 1995

DISMISSALS, SUA SPONTE, NO SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL
QUESTION AND DISCRETIONARY APPEALS, IF APPLICABLE, NOT ALLOWED

95-257. State v. Mason.
Franklin County, No. 94APA03-411. On motion for stay of
execution pending decision in 94-2462, State v. Waddell,
Franklin County, No. 94APA03-328. Motion denied.
Wright, Resnick and Pfeifer, JJ., dissent.

95-286. State v. Watson.
Ashland County, No. CA-1065. On motion for stay of execution.
Motion denied.

MOTION DOCKET

94-1319. State ex rel. Crabtree v. Ohio Bur. of Workers' Comp.
In Mandamus and Prohibition. On motion for order to show cause.
Motion granted.
On request for oral argument. Request denied.
Pfeifer, J., dissents.

95-609. Rak-Ree Enterprises, Inc. v. Timmons.
Pickaway County, Nos. 94CA10, 94CA14 and 94CA8. On emergency
motion for stay of execution. Motion denied.
Resnick, F.E. Sweeney and Pfeifer, JJ., dissent.

95-615. Endres Floral Co. v. Endres.
Tuscarawas County, No. 93AP100071. On motion to consolidate
with 94-1307, Endres Floral Co. v. Endres, Tuscarawas County,
No. 93AP080056. Motion denied.
Pfeifer, J., dissents.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

TUESDAY
April 25, 1995

DISCRETIONARY APPEALS NOT ALLOWED

94-2197. Graham v. Ohio Bd. of Bar Examiners.
Franklin County, No. 94API03-377.

Charles R. Petree, Acting C.J., of the Tenth Appellate
District, sitting for Moyer, C.J.,

G. Gary Tyack, J., of the Tenth Appellate District,
sitting for Douglas, J.

Dana A. Deshler, J., of the Tenth Appellate District,
sitting for Wright, J.

David T. Matia, J., of the Eighth Appellate District,
sitting for Resnick, J.

James D. Sweeney, J., of the Eighth Appellate District,
sitting for F.E. Sweeney, J.

John C. Young, J., of the Tenth Appellate District,
sitting for Pfeifer, J.

Joseph J. Nahra, J., of the Eighth Appellate District,
sitting for Cook, J.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

TUESDAY
April 25, 1995

DISCIPLINARY DOCKET

94-1810. Disciplinary Counsel v. Phillips.

On December 7, 1994, this court suspended respondent, Thomas E. Phillips, for one year but stayed the suspension and placed respondent on two years of monitored probation, on conditions. This court further ordered respondent by March 7, 1995, to pay board costs. On March 29, 1995, this court found respondent in contempt for failure to pay board costs and suspended him until board costs, including any accrued interest, were paid in full. On March 31, 1995, respondent paid board costs in full, including all accrued interest, and filed an Application for Reinstatement.

The court coming now to consider its order of March 29, 1995, finds that respondent has substantially complied with the order. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, effective April 20, 1995, that the disciplinary sanction issued by this court against Thomas E. Phillips, Attorney Registration No. 0008582, last known address in Chillicothe, Ohio, on December 7, 1994, be reinstated and that, accordingly, respondent's one-year suspension is stayed and he is placed on two years of probation, with conditions, effective as of December 7, 1994, consistent with the court's December 7, 1994 opinion and order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon successful completion of the probationary period, respondent shall apply for termination of probation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(9), and that the probation shall not be terminated until this court orders respondent's probation terminated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondent shall keep the Clerk, Disciplinary Counsel, relator, and the monitoring attorney advised of any change of address where respondent may receive communications.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that service shall be deemed made on respondent by sending this order, and all other orders in this case, by certified mail to the most recent business address respondent has given to the Attorney Registration Office.