

ATTENTION

DIRECT ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ANNOUNCEMENT TO WALTER S. KOBALKA,  
REPORTER OF DECISIONS, AND DEBORAH J. BARRETT AT (614) 466-4961 OR  
1-800-826-9010.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

THURSDAY  
August 19, 1993

MOTION DOCKET

90-338. State v. Richey.

Putnam County, No. 12-87-2. UPON CONSIDERATION of the motion filed by  
counsel for appellant to stay the execution of sentence in the  
above-styled cause pending the exhaustion of state post-conviction  
remedies,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that said motion be, and the same is  
hereby, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that said stay is granted for  
a period of six months to allow appellant an opportunity to file a  
petition for post-conviction relief. Absent such a filing within said  
time period, this stay will expire, and no further time will be  
granted except in unusual circumstances.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that if a petition for  
post-conviction relief has been filed within the time allotted, a  
date-stamped copy of such petition shall be filed by appellant with  
the Clerk of this court, and this stay shall remain in effect until  
exhaustion of all state post-conviction proceedings, including any  
appeals.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the compliance with the  
mandate and execution of sentence be, and the same are hereby, stayed  
for a period of six months or, if a petition for post-conviction  
relief is filed within that time period, pending the exhaustion of all  
proceedings for post-conviction relief before courts of this state.

93-1626. State ex rel. Papp v. Norton.

In Mandamus and Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for writs of mandamus and prohibition. Upon consideration of relator's motion for alternative writ,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that an alternative writ be, and the same is hereby, granted, effective August 17, 1993.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that respondent show cause on or before September 7, 1993, why a peremptory writ should not be granted.

Pfeifer, J., dissents.

93-1638. Sammons v. Kelly.

Clark County, No. 3080. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Clark County. Upon consideration of appellant's motion for appeal bond,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that said motion for appeal bond be, and the same is hereby, denied.

Pfeifer, J., not participating.

#### DISCIPLINARY DOCKET

91-2162. Butler Cty. Bar Assn. v. Barr.

This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application to terminate probation by respondent, Eric James Barr, Attorney Registration No. 0012385, last known business address in Middletown, Ohio.

The court coming now to consider its order of June 17, 1992, suspending respondent, Eric James Barr, from the practice of law for a period of six months, but staying said suspension pending his completion of one year of probation, finds that respondent has substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov. Bar R. V(9).

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED by the court that the probation of Eric James Barr, be, and hereby is, terminated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov. Bar R. V(8)(D)(1).

For earlier case, see Butler Cty. Bar Assn. v. Barr (1993), 64 Ohio St.3d 20, 591 N.E.2d 1200.

92-880. In re Resignation of App.

This cause came on for further consideration upon respondent's request to vacate this court's contempt order of August 14, 1992. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this court's contempt order of August 14, 1992, be, and the same is hereby, vacated.

#### MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

93-1262. Westendorf Beer & Wine Drive Thru, Inc. v. Ohio Liquor Control Comm.

Montgomery County, No. 13625. Cause dismissed, on appellant's application for dismissal.

93-1427. Who's Who Internatl. v. Gullia.

Cuyahoga County, No. 62163. Cause dismissed, on appellant's

application for dismissal.