

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR CLARK COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	C.A. CASE NO. 2008 CA 2
v.	:	T.C. NO. 07 CR 0073
LENEIL ALEXANDER	:	(Criminal appeal from Common Pleas Court)
Defendant-Appellant	:	

**OPINION**

Rendered on the 31<sup>st</sup> day of October, 2008.

AMY M. SMITH, Atty. Reg. No. 0081712, 50 E. Columbia Street, P. O. Box 1608, Springfield, Ohio 45501

Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

RICHARD A. NYSTROM, Atty. Reg. No. 0040615, 1502 Liberty Tower, 120 West Second Street, Dayton, Ohio 45402

Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

LENEIL ALEXANDER, #567-534, P. O. Box 300, Orient, Ohio 43146

Defendant-Appellant

WOLFF, P.J.

{¶ 1} Leneil Alexander entered a plea of guilty to one count of possession of crack cocaine, a fourth degree felony. The parties agreed to a sixth-month sentence, which was the minimum sentence provided for by statute, which the trial court imposed along with a

mandatory minimum license suspension of six months. In return for Alexander's plea of guilty, the State dismissed two other counts of a three-count indictment.

{¶ 2} Alexander appealed, and counsel was appointed to prosecute the appeal. On July 24, 2008, appointed appellate counsel filed an *Anders* brief in accordance with *Anders v. California* (1967), 386 U.S. 738, wherein counsel represented that, after review of the record, he could ascertain no arguably meritorious issues to present on appeal. By magistrate's order of August 6, 2008, this court informed Alexander that his counsel had filed an *Anders* brief and of the significance of an *Anders* brief. We invited Alexander to file a pro se brief assigning error for review within sixty days of August 6, 2008. Alexander has filed nothing with this court.

{¶ 3} Pursuant to our responsibilities under *Anders*, we have independently reviewed the entire record of this case and we have concluded, as did appointed appellate counsel, that there are no arguably meritorious issues for appellate review and that this appeal is entirely frivolous.

{¶ 4} Accordingly, the judgment from which this appeal is taken will be affirmed.

.....

FAIN, J. and DONOVAN, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

Amy M. Smith  
Richard A. Nystrom  
Leneil Alexander  
Hon. Douglas M. Rastatter