

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

April 16, 2008

[Cite as *04/16/2008 Case Announcements*, 2008-Ohio-1801.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2006-2095. McKinley v. Ohio Bur. of Workers' Comp., Slip Opinion No. 2008-Ohio-1736.

Washington App. No. 06CA7, 170 Ohio App.3d 161, 2006-Ohio-5271. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

2007-0414. LeBeau v. Perry Videx, L.L.C., Slip Opinion No. 2008-Ohio-1737.

On Order from the United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division, Certifying Questions of State Law, No. 5:06-CV-502. Certified questions answered. See opinion.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

2007-0642. State ex rel. Sears Roebuck & Co. v. Indus. Comm., Slip Opinion No. 2008-Ohio-1733.

Franklin App. No. 05AP-1135, 2007-Ohio-838. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

2007-0660. State ex rel. Wise v. Ryan, Slip Opinion No. 2008-Ohio-1740.

Franklin App. No. 05AP-872, 2007-Ohio-932. Judgment reversed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, and Lanzinger, JJ., concur.

Cupp, J., concurs in judgment only.

2007-1007. State ex rel. Ross v. Indus. Comm., Slip Opinion No. 2008-Ohio-1739.

Franklin App. No. 06AP-560, 2007-Ohio-2215. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

2007-1015. State ex rel. Rose v. Indus. Comm., Slip Opinion No. 2008-Ohio-1732.

Franklin App. No. 06AP-529, 2007-Ohio-1813. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

O'Donnell and Lanzinger, JJ., dissent.

2007-1027. State ex rel. Consol. Biscuit Co. v. Indus. Comm., Slip Opinion No. 2008-Ohio-1738.

Franklin App. No. 06AP-47, 2007-Ohio-2214. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

2007-1887. Dambolena v. Ohio Bur. of Workers' Comp., Slip Opinion No. 2008-Ohio-1735.

Cuyahoga App. No. 88881, 2007-Ohio-4435. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

2007-2228. State ex rel. Sliwinski v. Burnham Unruh, Slip Opinion No. 2008-Ohio-1734.

Summit App. No. 23947. Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2007-2459. State v. Sherrills.

Cuyahoga App. No. 89797, 2007-Ohio-6493. On March 31, 2008, this court found Daries Y. Sherrills to be a vexatious litigator under S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(5)(B). This court further ordered that Sherrills was prohibited from continuing or instituting legal proceedings in this court without first obtaining leave. On April 8, 2008, Sherrills filed a motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration of the entry filed March 31, 2008. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that the motion for leave is denied.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2008-0117. State v. Hunter.

Champaign App. No. 2006CA30, 2007-Ohio-5176. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right. It appears from the records of the court that the appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction, due April 11, 2008, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that this cause is dismissed sua sponte.

2008-0212. Williams v. Lazaroff.

Pickaway App. No. 07CA36. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Pickaway County. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due March 31, 2008, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that this cause is dismissed sua sponte.