2008 JAN 11 PM 3: 50 GEAUGA COUNTY, OHIO

DENISE M. KAMINSKI CLERK OF COURTS GEAUGA COUNTY PROSECUTOR

: CASE NO: 05 M 000504

Plaintiff

JUDGE DAVID L. FUHRY

-vs-

WILLIAM GODALE

ORDER OF THE COURT

Defendant

This matter comes on for consideration on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment filed October 11, 2007. Defendant William Godale ("Defendant") has filed a response on January 4, 2008, and has requested an oral hearing.

THE COURT FINDS, pursuant to its order of January 7, 2008, that defendant's response is timely. The Court has, therefore, reviewed defendant's brief in CERTIFIED opposition. The Court denies the request for oral hearing.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT the instant motion appears to address and correct deficiencies that the earlier motion for Summary Judgment contained. That deficiency is set forth at pages 6 & 7 of the Court of Appeal's decision

DENISE M. KANINSKI

DENISE M. KANINSKI

OENISE M. KANINSKI

OENISE M. KANINSKI

DENISE M. KANINSKI

OENISE M. KANINSKI

DENISE M. KANINSKI

appear sufficient to meet the receiver of the court of Appeal's decision appear sufficient to meet the receiver of the court of Appeal's decision appear sufficient to meet the receiver of the court of Appeal's decision appear sufficient to meet the receiver of the court of Appeal's decision appear sufficient to meet the receiver of the court of Appeal's decision appear sufficient to meet the receiver of the court of Appeal's decision appear sufficient to meet the receiver of the court of Appeal's decision appear sufficient to meet the receiver of the court of Appeal's decision appear sufficient to meet the receiver of the court of Appeal's decision appear sufficient to meet the receiver of the court of Appeal's decision appear sufficient to meet the receiver of the court of the

> THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT defendant's Brief in Opposition does not address the issue. Instead, it seeks to reargue old arguments which have been decided previously.

On consideration thereof, and on good cause shown, the Court hereby finds in favor of plaintiff, Geauga County Prosecutor, and against defendant, William Godale. Plaintiff is therefore granted summary judgment and the Court hereby enters the following judgment and order pursuant thereto:

- 1) William Godale is hereby declared to be a vexatious litigator;
- 2) William Godale is hereby prohibited from instituting or continuing any legal proceedings in the Court of Claims, in the Court of Common Pleas, Municipal Court or County Court without first obtaining leave of this Court

COPY

to proceed, or making any application other than application for leave to proceed in such Courts.

3) Defendant is to pay the costs of this proceeding, for which judgment is rendered and execution may issue.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DAVID L. FUHRY, JUDGE

cc:

Prosecutor William Godale Clerk of Courts

sb

order re vexatious litigator

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE DOCUMENT ON FILE IN THIS OFFICE ENTERED ///O/S WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT THIS / DAY / COURTS BY CERK OF COURTS BY CEPUTY CLERK

CERTIFIED
COPY

JAN 16 2000

DENISE M. KAMINSKI
GORK OF COURS
GORNINGS COUNTY