

### PERFORMANCE OF SITE REVIEW Rule 36.25 (A)

Submission Date:

Name of Specialized Docket:

Jurisdiction of the Specialized Docket:

**Docket Type:** 

Name of Specialized Docket Judge:

Phone Number of Specialized Docket Judge:

E-mail Address of Specialized Docket Judge:

Name of Specialized Docket Primary Staff Contact:

**Address of Primary Staff Contact:** 

Phone Number of Primary Staff Contact:

E-mail Address of Primary Staff Contact:

Staff assigned: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Site Review: \_\_\_\_\_

# Standard 3. Legal and Clinical Eligibility and Termination.

### Standard 3(A).

Any issues during site review with the following:

-	Legal eligibility criteria	
	Clinical eligibility criteria	
	Completion criteria	
	Termination criteria	
	Neutral discharge criteria	
Notes:	lo issues observed during site review	

# **Standard 6. Participant Monitoring.**

### Standard 6(A):

Treatment Team Meeting Schedule
Frequency: Weekly Bi-weekly
Day of the Week: Mon Tues Wed Thurs Fri
Time:

Present at treatment team meeting during site review:		
Specialized	Docket Judge	
Magistrate (	juvenile and family dockets)	
Defense Co	unsel	
Prosecutor		
Program Co	ordinator	
Probation		
Treatment P	rovider	
Case Mana	ger	
Children Se	rvices Caseworker	
Law Enforce	cement	
Other:		
Other:		

### Standard 6(C):

Any issues with ongoing communication among treatment team members during site review?

No issues with ongoing communication observed during site review.

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

### Standard 6(D):

Any issues during site review with phase progression?

No issues with phase progression observed during site review.

Notes:

# **Standard 7. Status Review Hearings.**

Status Review Hearings Schedule	
Frequency: Weekly Bi-weekly	
Day of the Week: Mon Tues Wed Thurs Fri	
Time:	

-

Present at uncwultgxlgy 'j gctlpi during site review:	
Specialized Docket Judge	
Magistrate (juvenile and family dockets)	
Defense Counsel	
Prosecutor	
Program Coordinator	
Probation	
Treatment Provider	
Case Manager	
Children Services Caseworker	
Law Enforcement	
Other:	
Other:	

### Standard 7(A)

During site review, the specialized docket judge incorporates ongoing judicial interaction with each participant?
Notes:
Standard 7(B)(1)   During site review, the specialized docket participants appear at least twice monthly in the initial phase?   Yes No
Notes:
Standard 7(B)(2)   During site review, the specialized docket participants appear regularly after the initial phase?   Yes No
Notes:

## Standard 8. Substance Monitoring.

### Standard 8(B)

Any issues during site review with the following:

randomness of drug and alcohol monitoring

frequency of drug and alcohol monitoring

observation of drug and alcohol monitoring by responsible specialized docket staff

No issues with random, frequent, and observed drug and alcohol testing during site review.

Notes:

### Standard 8(D)

Any issues with immediate notification to court of the following participant acts during site review:

testing positive;

failing to submit to testing;

submitting an adulterated sample;

] submitting the sample test of another individual; or

diluting the sample.

No issues with immediate notification to court for the above acts during site review.

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

### Standard 8(D)

Any issues with treating the following as positive tests and issuing immediate sanctions for the following acts during site review:

failing to submit to testing;

submitting an adulterated sample;

submitting the sample of another individual; and

diluting the sample.

No issues with treating the above acts as positive tests and issuing an immediate sanction
during site review.

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

## **Standard 10.** Incentives and Sanctions.

Incentives provided during site review?
Notes:
Sanctions issued during site review?
Notes:
No issues with incentives during site review.
No issues with sanctions during site review.
Notes:



## Rule 36.25 (A) Performance of Site Review

Site visit satisfactory

Staff Signature:

Date:

## Rule 36.25 (B) Unsatisfactory site review

If upon conducting the site review pursuant to division (A) of this rule a deficiency is identified, staff of the section shall provide the court or division notice by regular or electronic mail identifying the deficiency. If the court or division fails to correct the deficiency within forty-five days after the notice is issued, the manager of section, pursuant to Sup. R. 36.26(A), may recommend the application denied.

Date given to improve deficiency: \_\_\_\_\_ (45 days out) \_\_\_\_\_ (initials)

## FINAL CERTIFICATION RECOMMENDATION

Specialized dockets section staff person to complete after reviewing materials. Initial the line next to certification recommended or certification not recommended, sign, and date.



Final Certification Recommended

Final Certification Not Recommended

Manager, Specialized Dockets Section

Date: